



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

United States Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/796,705      | 03/09/2004  | Scott Meredith       | M61.12-0596         | 2881             |

27366 7590 11/27/2007

WESTMAN CHAMPLIN (MICROSOFT CORPORATION)

SUITE 1400

900 SECOND AVENUE SOUTH

MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-3319

EXAMINER

TAKLE, MESEKER

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2174

MAIL DATE

DELIVERY MODE

11/27/2007

PAPER

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

|                          |                                      |  |  |  |
|--------------------------|--------------------------------------|--|--|--|
| <b>Interview Summary</b> | <b>Application No.</b><br>10/796,705 |  | <b>Applicant(s)</b><br>MEREDITH, SCOTT |  |
|                          | <b>Examiner</b><br>Meseker Takele    |  | <b>Art Unit</b><br>2174                |  |
|                          |                                      |  |  |  |

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

- (1) Meseker Takele (examiner). (3) Christopher L. Holt.  
 (2) Sy Luu (primary examiner). (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 15 November 2007.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
 c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.  
 If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1, 8, 16, 17, 19 and 20.

Identification of prior art discussed: Moore and Richards et al.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed the proposed claims 1, 8, 16, 17, 19 and 20 in light of the applied prior art. It was suggested for Applicant to further clarify the claim language of the independent claims. Due to the changed grounds of rejection in the previous office action, the finality will be withdrawn and a new office action will follow.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Sy D Luu/  
 Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2174  
 Examiner's signature, if required

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an  
 Attachment to a signed Office action.